1	GREGORY M. FOX, ESQ., SBN 070876 DANA L. SOONG, ESQ., SBN 168160		
2	BERTRAND, FOX & ELLIOT The Waterfront Building		
3	2749 Hyde Street San Francisco, CA 94109		
4	Telephone: (415) 353-0999 Facsimile: (415) 353-0990		
5			
6	HARVEY E. LEVINE, ESQ., SBN 61880 MICHAEL BARRETT, ESQ., SBN 155968		
7	City of Fremont		
8	3300 Capitol Avenue P.O. Box 5006		
9	Fremont, CA 94537-5006 Telephone: (510) 284-4030		
10	Facsimile: (510) 284-4031		
11	Attorneys for defendant CITY OF FREMONT, CRAIG STECKLER, AND MICHAEL CHINN		
12			
13	UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
14	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA		
15			
16	JENNIFER SAMSON DAVID, by and through her attorneys-in-fact ROBERT	Case No.: C 05 00046 CW	
17	NOVAK and CONSTANT NOVAK, individually and in her representative capacity	STIPULATION AND [PROPOSED]	
18	as successor in interest to Glenn P. David,	ORDER DISMISSING CERTAIN CAUSES OF ACTION OF FIRST	
19	Plaintiff,	AMENDED COMPLAINT FILED BY	
20	vs.	PLAINTIFFS RACHEL DAVID AND MD	
21	CITY OF FREMONT, a municipal		
22	corporation; CRAIG STECKLER, individually and in his capacity as Chief of		
23	Police for the City of Fremont: OFFICER MICHAEL CHINN, individually; DOES 1-		
24	10, inclusive,		
25	Defendants.		
26			
27			
28			

1 RACHEL DAVID; M. D., by and through his) Guardian Ad Litem, LORI ALEMANIA, 2 Plaintiffs, 3 VS. 4 CITY OF FREMONT, a municipal 5 corporation; CRAIG STECKLER, in his 6 capacity as Chief of Police for the CITY OF FREMONT; MICHAEL CHINN, individually, 7 and in his capacity as a police officer for the CITY OF FREMONT; and DOES 1-25, 8 inclusive, individually and in their capacities 9 as police officers for the CITY OF FREMONT, 10 Defendants. 11 12 13 14 15 16 17 18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25

26

27

28

IT IS HEREBY STIPULATED BY AND BETWEEN THE PARTIES plaintiffs Rachel David (hereinafter "David") and M.D., by and through his Guardian Ad Litem, Lori Alemania (hereinafter "M.D."), and defendants City of Fremont (hereinafter the "City"), "), Police Chief Craig Steckler in his official capacity (hereinafter "Chief Steckler") and Officer Michael Chinn (hereinafter "Officer Chinn"), by and through their attorneys of record, that pursuant to defendants' FRCP Rule 12(b)(6) motion to dismiss:

- 1. Plaintiffs shall dismiss with prejudice all of their Fourth Amendment claims against defendants as asserted in the first, second and third causes of action of plaintiffs' first amended complaint;
- 2. Plaintiffs shall dismiss with prejudice their fourth cause of action against defendants for violation of California Civil Code section 52.1 in its entirety; and
- 3. Defendants' motion to dismiss, scheduled for hearing on September 9, 2005 at 10:00 a.m., shall be taken off calendar.

2

1	IT IS SO STIPULATED.		
2	Dated: August 23, 2005	/s/ by Benjamin Nisenbaum for John Burris	
3 4 5		JOHN BURRIS Attorney for Plaintiff	
6 7	Dated: August 23, 2005	/s/	
8		CDECODY M. FOY	
9		GREGORY M. FOX Attorney for Defendants	
10		ORDER	
11	Pursuant to the parties' stipulation, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED THAT: 1. Plaintiffs Rachel David and M.D's Fourth Amendment claims against defendant		
12			
13	asserted in the first, second and third causes of action of plaintiffs' first amended complaint are		
14 15	dismissed with prejudice;		
16	2. Plaintiffs' fourth cause of action against defendants for violation of Californi		
17	Civil Code section 52.1 is dismissed with prejudice in its entirety; and		
18	3. Defendants' FRCP Rule 12(b) motion to dismiss scheduled for hearing or		
19	September 9, 2005 at 10:00 a.m., is taken off calendar is denied as moot.		
20			
21	IT IS SO ORDERED. /s/ CLAUDIA WILKEN		
22	Dated: 8/31/05	Honorable Claudia Wilken	
23		Judge of the U.S. District Court	
24 25			
26			
27			
28			